





## S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/662,636	09/15/00	BONAVENTURE		L	P19978
Г			_		EXAMINER
007055		PM92/0102	•		
GREENBLUM &	BERNSTEIN			MAR M	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1941 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON VA 20191					U
				3619	7
				DATE MAILED:	:
					01/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/662,636

Applicant(s)

Bonaventure et al

Examiner

Michael Mar

Group Art Unit 3619



Despensive to communication(s) filed on			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		
This action is <b>FINAL.</b>			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is se is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failuapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exter 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ire to respond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	is/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)			
☐ Claims			
Application Papers			
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	vina Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
-	s of the priority documents have been		
☐ received.			
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	Number)		
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ received in this national stage application from t	he International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892			
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)3		
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	-948		
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
OFF OFFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PACES		
SEE UFFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES		

Application/Control Number: 09/662,636 Page 2

Art Unit: 3619

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 27-31 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 16-18, 20-34, 37-49, 76

Application/Control Number: 09/662,636 Page 3

Art Unit: 3619

and 77 of copending Application No. 08/759,416. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are drawn to the same ventilating structure for providing air cooling of a boot for use with a skate.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## 3. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

**Assistant Commissioner for Patents** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

### or faxed to:

(703) 308-2571

(for formal communications intended be entered)

(all informal communications should be labeled "PROPOSED" OR "DRAFT")

#### or hand delivered to:

Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22202

Seventh Floor(receptionist)

Art Unit: 3619

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Mar at telephone number (703) 308-2087, or by e-mail to: michael.mar@uspto.gov

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Michael Man MICHAEL MAR 14-01

**Primary Examiner** 

M.Mar

Jan 1, 2001